



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**



Limited Visual Dam Safety Inspections

OA00038

Reservoir 530

Oahu, Hawaii

Prepared by:

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
HONOLULU DISTRICT**

**STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

May 2006

Limited Visual Dam Safety Inspection Conducted on: 4 April 2006

I. Purpose:

Due to disaster occurrences of periodic heavy rains and flooding, which has caused extensive damage to property and loss of lives, the Governor has issued a State of Emergency Proclamation extending from February 20, 2006 to April 9, 2006. In light of the tragic failure of the Kaloko dam on Kauai and the continued forecast of heavy rains, emergency inspections of all regulated dams in all counties are being undertaken.

These inspections are for the purpose of determining if any of the regulated dams and reservoirs in the City and County of Honolulu, Maui County or Hawaii County, are suspect for immediate concern to the downstream area under the prolonged conditions of heavy rain showers.

II. Authority

Inspections were authorized under the Hawaii Dam Safety Act of 1987, Chapter 179D "Dams and Reservoirs" of Hawaii Revised Statutes, and Title 13, Subtitle 7, Chapter 190, "Dams and Reservoirs" of the Hawaii Administrative Rules.

These inspections were conducted under joint agreements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACE), the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), and the State of Hawaii. The Memorandum of Agreement with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is entered into pursuant to 10 U.S.C. § 3036(d)(2), and the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act (31 U.S.C. §6505), and established via support agreement number DL-06-01.

III. Scope

Visual inspection was performed on parts of the embankment and appurtenant works readily available and visible for inspection by the inspection team at the time of the inspection. Such parts and appurtenant works included the upstream slope, crest, downstream slope, abutments and toes, outlet works, and spillway.

On the date of this limited visual inspection, there may or may not have appeared to be any immediate threat to the safety of the dam, however no assurance can be made regarding the dam's condition after this date. Subsequent adverse weather and other factors may affect the dam's condition.

IV. Limitations of Findings and Recommendations

The inspection is based only on visible features/areas of the dam on the day of inspection. The inspection does not entail detailed stability, hydrologic, hydraulic, or seismic investigations. This inspection is not a formal phase I or phase II dam safety inspection and does not include a review or evaluation from each specialist of an inspection team, such as a geologists, civil, geotechnical, structural, or hydraulics engineer. The owner should verify the findings of this report and take corrective actions. The owner may submit to the State alternative corrective actions that are certified by a licensed professional engineer in the State of Hawaii experienced in the design and construction of dams. This inspection does not relieve the owner/operator from their responsibility to conduct routine inspections, maintenance, repairs, modifications, monitoring, documentation, and/or investigative studies.

Dam ID: OA-038
Name: Reservoir 530

V. Inspection Team

Organization

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
State of Hawaii, Dept. of Land and Natural Resources
National Resource Conservation Service

Name

Troy Cosgrove
Carty Chang
Sherman White

VI. Owner's Representatives Present

Mr. John Higham, Kamehameha Schools/Gentry

VII. Inspection Team

Organization

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

State of Hawaii, Dept. of Land and Natural Resources

Name

Mr. Derek Chow
Mr. Joseph Koester
Ms. Denise Manuel
Mr. Edwin Matsuda

VIII. Dam Type

The dam is an earthen embankment.

IX. Dam Classification

The current hazard classification of this dam is: High

Based on available data, this classification is believed to still be applicable.

Hazard Potential Classification based on the following:

Category	Loss of Life	Economic Loss
Low	None Expected	Minimal (undeveloped to occasional structures or agriculture)
Significant	Few (No Urban development and no more than a small number of inhabitable structures)	Appreciable (Notable agriculture, industry or structures)
High	More than a few	Extensive community, industry or agriculture.

Based on inventoried storage and height data, the size classification of the dam is: Small

Size Classification based on the following:

Category	Storage (Acre-Feet)	Height (feet)
Small	< 1000	< 40
Intermediate	> 1000 and < 50,000	> 40 and < 100
Large	> 50,000	> 100

X. Summary of Inspection:

Condition Rating Criteria: The conditional terms in this report are used to generally described the conditions below. Inspections, monitoring, and additional investigations are considered to be incidental to all condition ratings.

Satisfactory	Expected to fulfill intended function.
Fair	Expected to fulfill intended function, but maintenance is recommended.
Poor	May not fulfill intended function; maintenance or repairs are necessary.
Unsatisfactory	Is not expected to fulfill intended function; repair, replacement, or modification is necessary.
Unknown	Not visible, not accessible, not inspected, or unable to determine the condition rating based on the observation taken.

A. General appearance:

The reservoir and dam features were easily recognizable.

Modifications / Improvements: There were no signs of any recent modifications.

The reservoir appeared to have a small surface drainage area.

Based on staff personnel, this reservoir has no incident history.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The Owner shall maintain documentations including Construction plans, specifications, improvements, modifications, Operations and Maintenance Manuals and routine inspection logs for this dam facility.
- b. An EAP is required for High Hazard Dams. Submit an updated EAP for this facility.
- c. Routine inspection logs were not inspected.
- d. Dam owners shall provide for routine inspection of the dam.
- e. The dam did not appear to be maintained on a regular basis.
- f. Access to site appears to be satisfactory.
- g. Access to dam is questionable during severe weather conditions and/or spillway overflows. Operational plans and emergency plans need to reflect this deficiency or access provided.
- h. Submit current Operations and Maintenance Manual or Procedures for this dam / reservoir facility.
- i. Submit Site or Facility Map of this Dam which identifies the location of major features including outlet works controls and conduits.
- j. Emergency Alarms / Monitors: There were no alarms or monitors observed on this reservoir.
- k. Power / Communication: There were no communication systems observed on this reservoir. There were no utility or power poles visible nearby.

B. Access / Security:

Access to the dam was accomplished via a County roadway. Access requires a 4 wheel drive vehicle. Access to dam is questionable during severe weather conditions. Operational plans need to reflect this deficiency or access improved.

Any security issues. Valves are locked. Access to the dam is via several locked gates.

C. Inflow Works:

The inflow works were not observed. However according to staff personnel, there are possibly 2 inlets of unknown design.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The intake works were not inspected.
- b. The intake works were not tested.

D. Reservoir

The reservoir level during the inspection was unknown; there are no gages. According to staff personnel, the reservoir is normally kept open and is normally empty or low, only being filled by storms. No sinkholes or depressions were observed.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The reservoir was not inspected.
- b. A staff gage was not observed at the reservoir. Provide some method of quantifying the water level within the reservoir.

E. Upstream Slope (Fair/Poor)

The upstream slope varied in slope and ranged from a 1V:1½ H to 1V:0.6H (Vertical/Horizontal).

A fitted rip rap rock slope protection was observed. Vegetation was observed growing between the rocks.

Erosions were not observed, the slope was not entirely visible.

Cracks were not observed, the slope was not entirely visible.

Sinkholes were not observed, the slope was not entirely visible.

The upstream slope was not entirely visible due to heavy woody and grass vegetation.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The upstream slope was not inspected.
- b. The upstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- c. The upstream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- d. Tree(s) were observed on the dam embankment. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause severe damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is

required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.

F. Crest: (Fair/Poor)

The dam crest was approximately 19 feet wide
There was a dirt access road on top of the crest.
There was high vegetation on either edges of the crest.
Cracks were not observed, however the crest was not entirely visible.
Sinkholes were not observed, however the crest was not entirely visible.
Vegetation was observed on the edges of the crest, including numerous trees of varying size and small woody vegetation and high grass.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The dam crest was not inspected.
- b. The dam crest appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- c. Access along the crest was satisfactory.
- d. Portions of the crest were not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- e. Tree(s) were observed along the dam crest. Trees have been identified as the probable cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause severe damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.

G. Downstream Slope: (Fair/Poor)

The downstream slope was in poor condition and not visible due to heavy vegetation.
The slope was very steep, around a 1 to 1 slope.
There was no access to the downstream slope / a roadway along the downstream toe.
There was some rip rap slope protection observed on the downstream slope.
Erosion was not observed on the downstream slope, however the slope was not entirely visible.
Sinkholes were not observed on the downstream slope, however the slope was not entirely visible.
Vegetation was observed on the downstream slope. The majority of the vegetation was woody trees of various size.
Seepage was not observed on the downstream toe, however the slope was not entirely visible.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The downstream slope was not inspected.
- b. The downstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- c. The down stream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- d. Tree(s) were observed on the downstream slope. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause severe damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- e. The slope was very steep, around a 1 to 1 slope, further study is required to verify slope stability.

H. Abutments / Toe: (Fair/Poor)

The abutments and toe were not entirely visible or identifiable due to heavy vegetative growth.

Cracks in either direction were not observed, however the crest was not entirely visible. There was heavy vegetation along the abutments and toe locations.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The abutments/toe were not inspected.
- b. The abutments/toe appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- c. The abutment/toe area was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- d. Tree(s) were observed along the abutment/toe. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause severe damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- e. Could not access lee and abutments, pathway should be cleared to toe and outlet works.

I. Outlet Works: (Unknown)

Not inspected in detail, not tested.

Water was below the upstream gate works.

The heavy vegetation should be removed and maintained low to enable easy visual inspection.

The outlet works appeared to be a 24" pipe.

The outlet works was controlled via a gate valve on the downstream side of the dam.

Seepage was not visible near the exit of the outlet works from the dam.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The outlet works were not inspected.
- b. Were not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- c. Clear path to outlet works intake and outfall to inspect.

J. Spillway: (Fair/Poor)

Two spillways are present, according to the 1979 USACE inspection report, consisting of reinforced concrete pipe culverts.

The rough dimensions were 3 ft by 3 ft.

The spillway approach was highly vegetated; only one spillway could be found.

The downstream vegetation appears to be primarily woody vegetation.

Further investigations should be conducted to conclude the capacity of the spillway.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The Spillway appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- b. The Spillway approach was blocked. Clear approach.
- c. Trees are unacceptable in the spillway channel and approach. Take corrective action to address the woody vegetation problem and repair the damaged area.
- d. Unclear if spillway is adequately sized. Spillway should pass the probable maximum flood. Verify spillway capacity and take corrective action as required.
- e. Clear second spillway at lower elevation.

K. Down Stream Channel: (Unknown)

The down stream channel was not investigated / inspected.

XI. Additional Comments:

The owner representative stated that the dam will be removed in a master plan of development. Construction is estimated to start in 2008. The downstream slope failure seen near the top of the dam could not be inspected closely due to the steep slope and heavy trees and other vegetation. This failure needs to be further investigated. Some information used in this report was adapted from a 1978 USACE inspection report.

Original field inspection notes were scanned and are attached to this summary report. Included are several photos from the site visit to detail important features of the project, captioned to be self-explanatory.

Per e-mail dated 5/2/2006 5:16 am from Troy Cosgrove

Intake Works:

Please describe the intake works:

The intake works were not inspected. The size and control were not known. This reservoir is feed possibly by two ditches of unknown size.

Abutment/Toe:

Please indicate if seep was not visible.

The abutment/toe was not inspected due to vegetation and access issues and seepage was not visible.

Outlet Works:

Please indicate the type of pipe for the 24 inch pipe.

Unknown, size of pipe taken from past report, but type was not indicated.

Spillway:

Please indicate the erosion and vegetation.

Erosion was not visible due to vegetation of tall grass, bushes, and trees 6-20".

Comments:

The dam did not present a hazard at the time of inspection.

Please make comments to the owner about the vegetation and gully erosion. Should it be corrected immediately (within 6 months)? Would it be in their best interest to have a structural or geotechnical engineer assist them with the corrective action?

This dam is no longer used and not maintained. The current owner plans to remove this reservoir in future development of the site.

PHOTOGRAPHS

Dam ID: OA-038

Name: Reservoir 530



Photo 1 Spillway on right side of dam.



Photo 2 Downstream slope, dense vegetation.

Dam ID: OA-038

Name: Reservoir 530



Photo 3 Dam crest.



Photo 4 Upstream slope, dense vegetation.

FIELD INSPECTION SHEETS

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Vulnerability Index:

Extreme	High	Moderate	Low
1	2	3	4

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

STATE OF HAWAII - DLNR
DAM SAFETY INSPECTION SHEET

Inspection Type: Visual Dam Safety Inspection

Persons Present

Affiliation

Phone Number

Fray Casgrove
Carty Chang
Sherman White
John Higham

US Army Corps of Engineers

DLNR

NRCS

Gentry

Weather Condition:

☐ Rain previous day☐ Rainy☐ Drizzle / Mist☐ Cloudy/Overcast☒ Partly Cloudy☐ Sunny☐ Dry

Comments: _____

1. General: (Information currently on file, update as required)

Dam/Res. Name RESERVOIR 530

Owner Kamehameha Schools / Gentry

(C002)

Owner Contact Mr. Kaeo Duarte / John Higham

Owner Ph. _____

Lessee _____

Lessee Ph. _____

O & M Contractor _____

O & M Ph. _____

Nearest Town WAIPAHU

Latitude 21.4367° (decimal)

County HONOLULU

Longitude 157.98° (decimal)

Tax Map Key(s) _____

Dam Status

I: _____

Hazard Potential

H: _____

Dam Size _____

Year Completed 1935

Dam Length 310 ft.

Dam Height 32 ft.

Normal Storage 30 ac.ft.

Max. Storage 54 ac.ft.

Max. Surface Area 2.24 ac.

Drainage Area 0.1 mi.

Spillway Type _____

Max. Spillway Q 330 cfs


Owner owns land under dam facility: _____

Emergency Action Plan on file with the Department: NO

Reports on file with the Department:

Sept. 1979 = Army Corps of Engineers, Initial Dam Safety Inspection / Survey (4)

Dec 1996 = RM Towill, Dam Safety Inspection



Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

2. Questions for Owner's Rep.:

	Yes	No	Unknown	Comments
Construction Plans Available	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Site / Facility Map	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tography Survey
Operation & Maintenance Manual	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Emergency Action Plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Modifications / Improvements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Conduct Routine Inspections	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Conduct Routine Maintenance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Vehicle access to site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible <input type="checkbox"/> With Standard car <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requires 4-Wheel Drive
Access during heavy rains	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible <input type="checkbox"/> With Standard car <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requires 4-Wheel Drive
Access when spillway is flowing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible <input type="checkbox"/> With Standard car <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requires 4-Wheel Drive
Other Studies Conducted	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Phase I <input type="checkbox"/> Phase II <input type="checkbox"/> Hydraulics <input type="checkbox"/> Stability <input type="checkbox"/> Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Seismic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: no recent studies
Incident History	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Breached <input type="checkbox"/> Overtop <input type="checkbox"/> Slide <input type="checkbox"/> Down stream Flooding <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
Reservoir's Current Use	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Flood Control <input type="checkbox"/> Drinking Water <input type="checkbox"/> Power Generation <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- ☒ a. The Owner shall maintain documentations including Construction plans, specifications, improvements, modifications, Operations and Maintenance Manuals and routine inspection logs for this dam facility.
- ☐ b. An Emergency Action Plan (EAP) is on file with the department, submit any updates as applicable.
- ☒ c. An EAP is required for High Hazard Dams. Submit an updated EAP for this facility. *1/23/06*
- ☐ d. An EAP is recommended for all dams regardless of hazard class. Submit EAP if developed for the facility.
- ☐ e. Submit narrative and additional information detailing the improvements, modifications, and/or alterations at the dam site, unless covered by approved dam permit.
- ☒ f. Routine inspection logs were not inspected.
- ☒ g. Dam owners shall provide for routine inspection of the dam.
- ☒ h. The dam did not appear to be maintained on a regular basis.
- ☒ i. Access to site appears to be satisfactory.
- ☐ j. There is no vehicular access to the dam site. Operational and emergency plans need to reflect this deficiency or access provided.
- ☒ k. Access to dam is questionable during severe weather conditions and/or spillway overflows. Operational plans and emergency plans need to reflect this deficiency or access provided.
- ☐ l. Provide a detailed narrative of the incident, responses taken, and any damages incurred. Dam owners are required to promptly advise the department of any sudden or unprecedented flood or unusual or alarming circumstance or occurrences which may adversely affect the dam or reservoir.
- ☒ m. Submit current Operations and Maintenance Manual or Procedures for this dam / reservoir facility.
- ☒ n. Submit Site or Facility Map of this Dam which identifies the location of major features including outlet works controls and conduits.
- ☐ o. _____

Additional Requirements:

The following investigative study(s) are:

Required Recommended

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Phase I Study |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Phase II Study (Including <input type="checkbox"/> Seepage <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Hydraulics <input type="checkbox"/> EAP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Hydrology and Hydraulics (including Probable Maximum Flood and spillway capacity) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Stability Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Seismic Analysis |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Hazard Classification |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other: _____ |

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

Physical Dam Features: (Check All Applicable. Provide description of Items Observed and/or Take Photos. Indicate photo # in description.)

3. Reservoir:

Level during inspection unknown ft per N/A (gage / other)

Normal Operating Level/Range unknown ft per N/A (gage / other)

Description: Outlet structure, reported, left open to bypass flow

Typical Operation ☐ Spillway always flowing ☐ Kept within normal range ☒ Kept Empty ☐ Drained Daily ☒ Only filled by Storms

☐ Other: _____

Sinkhole in Res.: ☐ # Observed: _____ Size: _____ by _____ in. Deep ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: _____

Staff Gage: Description: _____

Findings:

- ☒ a. The reservoir was not inspected.
☐ b. The reservoir appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
☐ c. The reservoir appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
☐ d. The reservoir appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition, urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ e. The staff gage needs maintenance and/or repair. Description: _____
☒ f. A staff gage was not observed at the reservoir. Provide some method of quantifying the water level within the reservoir.
☐ g. A sinkhole was observed in the upstream reservoir. Conduct additional investigations and monitoring to identify the cause, risk and appropriate action.
☐ h. _____

4. Intake Works Description:

☒ Number of Intakes possibly 2

☐ Intake Culvert / Pipe

Size: _____ in. ☐ DIP ☐ Corrugated Metal ☐ PVC ☐ HDPE ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____

Control: ☐ Gate ☐ Valve ☐ Flow can either be Shut off or Bypassed

From: ☐ Stream Diversion ☐ Pump ☐ Reservoir ☐ Other _____

☐ Ditch / Flume

Dimension: _____ (Size x Depth) Shape _____

Surface: ☐ Dirt ☐ Wood ☐ Concrete ☐ Lined w/ _____

Control: ☐ Gate ☐ Valve ☐ Flow can either be Shut off or Bypassed

From: ☐ Stream Diversion ☐ Pump ☐ Reservoir ☐ Other _____

Findings:

- ☒ a. The intake works were not inspected.
☐ b. The intake works were not tested.
☐ c. The intake works appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
☐ d. The intake works appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
☐ e. The intake works appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition, urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ f. The intake works needs maintenance and/or repair. Description: _____
☐ g. _____

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/16

5. Upstream Slope:

(Typical Slope ± 1V : 1.5H)

Slope Protection: ☐ None ☐ Dumped Rock ☒ Fitted Rip Rap ☐ Grouted Rip Rap ☐ Liner ☐ Other: _____

☒ Defect in Protection: Description: Dislodge by trees

Erosion: ☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: Heavy vegetation and trees

Cracks: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: Heavy vegetation and trees

Sinkholes: ☐ # Observed: _____ Size: _____ and _____ Depth ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: Heavy vegetation and trees

Vegetation: ☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☒ Bushes or Tall Grass ☒ Trees # many ☐ <6" ☐ >6" & <20" ☒ >20"

Description: US slope not maintained

Findings:

- ☒ a. The upstream slope was not inspected.
- ☐ b. The upstream slope appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ c. The upstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The upstream slope appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ e. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: _____
- ☐ f. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed on the slope, which requires maintenance and/or repair. Description: _____
- ☐ g. A crack was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
- ☐ h. A sinkhole was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Repair and monitor the area.
- ☒ i. The upstream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☒ j. Tree(s) were observed on the dam embankment. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- ☐ k. _____

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

6. Crest:

Approximate Crest Width: 19ft

Access:

☐ None ☐ Walking Path ☒ Roadway, Surface / Width / Usage: dirt

Erosion:

☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) ☒ Not Visible ☒ None Observed

Description: Edges of crest heavy vegetation

Cracks:

☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☒ Not Visible ☒ None Observed

Description: same as above

Sinkholes:

☐ _____ in. Wide x _____ in. Long x _____ in. Deep ☒ Not Visible ☒ None Observed

Description: same as above

Vegetation:

☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☒ Bushes or Tall Grass ☒ Trees # many ☒ <6" ☒ >6" & <20" ☒ >20"

Description: Edges of crest not maintained

Findings:

- ☒ a. The dam crest was not inspected.
- ☐ b. The dam crest appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ c. The dam crest appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The dam crest appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ e. Access along the crest was satisfactory.
- ☐ f. Access along the crest was not possible. Description: _____
- ☐ g. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed on the crest, which requires maintenance and/or repair. Description: _____
- ☐ h. A crack was observed on the crest, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
- ☐ i. A sinkhole was observed on the crest, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Repair and monitor the area.
- ☒ j. Portions of the crest were not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☒ k. Tree(s) were observed along the dam crest. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- ☐ l. _____

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

7. Downstream Slope:

(Typical Slope \pm 1V : 1H)Access: ☐ lower roadway along toe ☐ roadway to outlet works ☐ walkway to outlet works ☒ None ObservedSlope Protection: ☐ None ☐ Dumped Rock ☒ Rip Rap ^{below E1. 520 ft} ☐ Grouted Rip Rap ☐ ConcreteErosion: ☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) ☒ Not Visible ☐ None ObservedDescription: Heavy vegetation and treesCracks: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☒ Not Visible ☐ None ObservedDescription: Same as aboveSinkholes: ☐ _____ in. Wide x _____ in. Long x _____ in. Deep ☒ Not Visible ☐ None ObservedDescription: Same as aboveVegetation: ☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☒ Bushes or Tall Grass ☒ Trees # many ☒ <6" ☒ >6" & <20" ☒ >20"Description: PS Slope not maintainedSeepage: Seep Spot Number 1☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Flowing, Description: _____

Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: _____

Description: _____

Seep Spot Number 2☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Flowing, Description: _____

Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: _____

Description: _____

Findings:

- ☒ a. The downstream slope was not inspected.
- ☐ b. The downstream slope appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ c. The downstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The downstream slope appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ e. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: _____
- ☐ f. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed on the slope, which requires maintenance and/or repair. Description: _____
- ☐ g. A crack was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
- ☐ h. A sinkhole was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Repair and monitor the area.
- ☒ i. The down stream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☒ g. Tree(s) were observed on the downstream slope. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- ☐ h. Seepage/Ponding water was observed. Monitor and conduct further investigation to locate the source of water and extent of any possible hazardous or developing condition.
- ☐ i. Seepage was observed flowing and particles were observed to be removed by the flow. Take immediate action to stop the loss of soil from the embankment. Conduct further investigation to determine the underlining cause and take corrective action. Monitor the area.
- ☒ j. The slope was very steep, around a 1 to 1 slope, further study is required to verify slope stability.
- ☐ k. _____

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

8. Abutments/Toe:

Erosion: ☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed
Description: Heavy vegetation and trees

Cracks: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed
Description: same as above

Vegetation: ☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☒ Bushes or Tall Grass ☒ Trees # many ☒ <6" ☐ >6" & <20" ☐ >20"
Description: Could not access due to vegetation + trees

Seepage: Seep Spot Number 1
☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☐ None Observed
☐ Flowing, Description: _____
Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: _____
Description: _____

Seep Spot Number 2
☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☐ None Observed
☐ Flowing, Description: _____
Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: _____
Description: _____

Findings:

- ☒ a. The abutments/toe were not inspected.
- ☐ b. The abutments/toe appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ c. The abutments/toe appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The abutments/toe appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ e. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: _____
- ☐ f. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed, which requires maintenance and/or repair.
Description: _____
- ☐ g. A crack was observed along the abutments/near the toe, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
- ☒ h. The abutment/toe area was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☒ i. Tree(s) were observed along the abutment/toe. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- ☐ j. Seepage/Ponding water was observed. Monitor and conduct further investigation to locate the source of water and extent of any possible hazardous or developing condition.
- ☐ k. Seepage was observed flowing and particles were observed to be removed by the flow. Take immediate action to stop the loss of soil from the embankment. Conduct further investigation to determine the underlining cause and take corrective action. Monitor the area.
- ☒ l. could not access toe and abutments, pathway should be cleared to toe and outlet works

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

9. Outlet Works:

Culvert / Pipe

Type / Size: 24 inch Pipe

Culvert: ☐ Concrete ☐ Masonry ☐ unlined earth ☐ Other _____

Pipe: ☐ DIP ☐ Corrugated Metal ☐ PVC ☐ HDPE ☐ Concrete ☐ Other _____

Control Type: ☒ Gate ☒ Valve ☐ Other _____

Location: ☐ Control on Upstream side ☒ Control on Downstream side

Seepage: ☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

☐ Flowing, Description: _____

Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: _____

Description: _____

Findings:

- ☒ a. The outlet works were not inspected.
- ☐ b. The outlet works were not tested.
- ☐ c. The outlet works appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☐ d. The outlet works appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ e. The outlet works appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ f. Seepage/Ponding water was observed. Conduct further investigation to locate the source of water and extent of any possible hazardous or developing condition.
- ☐ g. Seepage was observed flowing and particles were observed to be removed by the flow. Take immediate action to stop the loss of soil. Conduct further investigation to determine the underlining cause and take corrective action. Monitor the area. Failures caused by seepage/piping along the outlet conduit are very common and are considered to be a dangerous situation.
- ☒ h. Were not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.

- ☒ i. Clear path to outlet work intake and outfall to inspect.
- ☐ j. _____

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

10. Spillway:

Type: ☐ None ☒ Culvert/Pipe ☐ Channel

Description: According to 1979 USACE report 2 spillways pipes present.

Dimension: ① 36" ② 36" ft. Invert elevation: Both unknown ft. per staff gage ① Left side low ② right side high

Slope Protection: ☒ None ☐ Grass ☐ Dumped Rock ☐ Fitted Rip Rap ☐ Grouted Rip Rap ☐ Concrete

☐ Defect in Protection: Description: _____

Approach: ☐ Clear ☒ High Veg. ☒ Trees ☐ Other: _____

Erosion: ☐ Scour ☐ Gully ☐ Headcut ☐ Not Observed ☐ Other: _____

Description: _____

Vegetation: ☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☐ Bushes or Tall Grass ☐ Trees # _____ ☐ <6" ☐ >6" & <20" ☐ >20"

Description: _____

Findings:

- ☐ a. The Spillway appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ b. The Spillway appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action. only right side found and inspected.
- ☐ c. The Spillway appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ d. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: _____
- ☒ e. The spillway approach was blocked. Clear approach.
- ☐ f. Severe scour erosion was observed which requires maintenance and/or repair. Description: _____
- ☐ g. A headcut (vertical drop in channel due to erosion) was observed downstream of the spillway. Corrective action is required to prevent this problem from moving upstream.
- ☒ h. Trees are unacceptable in the spillway channel and approach. Take corrective action to address the woody vegetation problem and repair the damaged area.
- ☒ i. Unclear if spillway is adequately sized. Spillway should pass the probable maximum flood. Verify spillway capacity and take corrective action as required.
- ☒ j. Clear other spillway pipe at lower elevation.

11. Down Stream Channel:

Name: Irrigation Ditch

Downstream: ☐ Sump ☐ Open Area ☐ Un-Defined Drainage-way ☐ Defined Drainage-way ☒ Other unknown

Items along Stream Bank: ☐ None ☐ Road ☐ Houses ☐ Town ☒ Not Inspected

Description: _____

Findings:

- ☒ a. The downstream channel was not inspected.
- ☐ b. The downstream channel appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☐ c. The downstream channel appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The downstream channel appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

Corrective Actions:

- ☐ e. _____

Dam ID: OA-0038

RESERVOIR 530

Inspection No: _____

Date: 4/4/06

Additional Comments:

On the date of this limited visual inspection, there appeared to be no immediate threat to the safety of the dam. No assurance can be made regarding the dam's condition after this date. Subsequent adverse weather and other factors may affect the dam's condition.

This dam, as stated by owner's representative, will be removed during future development. Estimated construction start ~2008. Some information in this inspection report were obtained from the 1979 USACE Report.

Limitations and Intent of this Dam Safety Inspection:

This Dam Safety Inspection was conducted to assess the general overall condition of the reservoir/dam, identify visible deficiencies, and recommend areas of for monitoring, additional investigative studies and corrective actions. The inspection is based only on visible features/areas of the dam on the day of inspection. This inspection is not a formal phase I or phase II dam safety inspection and does not include a review or evaluation from each specialist of an inspection team, such as a geologists, civil, geotechnical, structural, or hydraulics engineer. The owner should verify the findings of this report and take corrective actions. The owner may submit to the State alternative corrective actions that are certified by a licensed professional engineer in the State of Hawaii experienced in the design and construction of dams. This inspection does not relieve the owner/operator from their responsibility to conduct routine inspections, maintenance, repairs, modifications, monitoring, documentation, and/or investigative studies. The inspection was conducted under the authority of the Hawaii Revised Statutes Chapter 179D, and Hawaii Administrative Rules, Title 13, Chapter 190, titled "Dams and Reservoirs". Questions regarding this inspection should be forwarded to the Hawaii State Dam Safety Program; PO Box 373; Honolulu, Hawaii 96809; Ph. (808) 587-0236.

Revised: Dec. 1, 2003